

S.No - 168

**BEFORE DELHI VALUE ADDED TAX, APPELLATE TRIBUNAL DELHI**  
**Sh. Narinder Kumar, Member (Judicial), Sh. Rakesh Bali, Member (Admn)**

Appeal No. 333-334/ATVAT/21

Date of Judgment: 02/02/2022

M/S New India Glass Co.  
289, Fathepuri,  
Delhi-110006.

.....Appellant

v.

Commissioner of Trade & Taxes, Delhi.

.....Respondent

Counsel representing the Appellant : None for the Appellant.  
Counsel representing the Revenue : Sh. M. L. Garg

**ORDER**

1. Staff has reported that the dealer has not complied with the direction contained in order u/s 76(4) of DVAT Act. In other words, the dealer has not so far deposited the amount of pre deposit for the purpose of entertainment of these appeals.

2. Even none has appeared on behalf of the Dealer-Appellant. *despite want*  
Since the appeals were allowed to be entertained subject to deposit of Rs. 3,500/- but the said order has not been complied with, these appeals are dismissed *as* default of appearance and for non-prosecution.


*Sh*  
*21/2/22*


*Sh*  
*21/2/22*

3. File be consigned to record room. Copy of the order be supplied to both the parties as per rule. One copy be sent to the concerned authority. Another copy be displayed on the concerned website.

Announced in open court.

Date : 02/02/2022

  
Narinder Kumar  
Member (J)

  
Rakesh Bali  
Member (A)

Appeal No. 333-334/RTVAT/21/1928-35

Dated: 07/02/2022

Copy to:-

- |  |                |
|--|----------------|
| (1) VATO (Ward- )  | (6) Dealer     |
| (2) Second case file   | (7) Guard File |
| (3) Govt. Counsel  | (8) AC(L&J)    |
| (4) Secretary (Sales Tax Bar Association)  |                |
| (5) PS to Member (J) for uploading the judgment on the portal of DVAT/GST, Delhi - through EDP branch. |                |

  
REGISTRAR