circumstances of each case. The same principle should be followed in case of export of services"

- 4.4 Further, in Para 44 of the aforesaid Circular, it has been emphasized that the substantive benefits of zero rating may not be denied where it has been established that exports in terms of the relevant provisions have been made.
- 4.5 The above clarifications imply that as long as goods are actually exported or as the case may be, payment is realized in case of export of services, even if it is beyond the time frames as prescribed in sub-rule (1) of rule 96A, the benefit of zero-rated supplies cannot be denied to the concerned exporters. Accordingly, it is **clarified** that in such cases, on actual export of the goods or as the case may be, on realization of payment in case of export of services, the said exporters would be entitled to refund of unutilized input tax credit in terms of sub-section (3) of section 54 of the CGST Act, if otherwise admissible.
- 4.6 It is also **clarified** that in such cases subsequent to export of the goods or realization of payment in case of export of services, as the case may be, the said exporters would be entitled to claim refund of the integrated tax so paid earlier on account of goods not being exported, or as the case be, the payment not being realized for export of services, within the time frame prescribed in clause (a) or (b), as the case may be, of sub-rule (1) of rule 96A. It is further being **clarified** that no refund of the interest paid in compliance of sub-rule (1) of rule 96A shall be admissible.
- 4.7 It may further be noted that the refund application in the said scenario may be made under the category "Excess payment of tax". However, till the time the refund application cannot be filed under the category "Excess payment of tax" due to non-availability of the facility on the portal to file refund of IGST paid in compliance with the provisions of sub-rule (1) of rule 96A of CGST Rules as "Excess payment of tax", the applicant may file the refund application under the category "Any Other" on the portal.

\*\*\*

## **198**

## <u>Clarification on issue pertaining to e-invoice.</u> <u>Circular No. 198/10/2023- GST</u>

17th July, 2023

Representations have been received seeking clarification with respect to applicability of e-invoice under rule 48(4) of Central Goods and Services Tax Rules, 2017 (hereinafter referred to as "CGST Rules") w.r.t supplies made by a registered person, whose turnover exceeds the prescribed threshold for generation of e-invoicing, to Government Departments or establishments/ Government agencies/ local authorities/ PSUs registered solely for the purpose of deduction of tax at source as per provisions of section 51 of the Central Goods and Services Tax Act, 2017 (hereinafter referred to as "CGST Act").

2. In order to clarify the issue and to ensure uniformity in the implementation of the provisions

of law across the field formations, the Board, in exercise of its powers conferred by section 168 (1) of the CGST Act, hereby clarifies the issue as under:

S. No.	Is s	Clarification
1.	Whether e-invoicing is applicable for supplies made by a registered person, whose turnover exceeds the prescribed threshold for generation of e- invoicing, to Government Departments or establishments/ Government agencies/ local authorities/PSUs which are registered solely for the purpose of deduction of tax at source as per provisions of section 51 of the CGST Act?	Government agencies/ local authorities/ PSUs, which are required to deduct tax at source as per provisions of section 51 of the CGST/SGST Act, are liable for compulsory registration in accordance with section 24(vi) of the CGST Act. Therefore, Government Departments or establishments/ Government agencies/ local authorities/ PSUs, registered solely for the purpose of deduction of tax at source as per provisions of section 51 of the CGST Act, are to be treated as registered persons under the GST law as per provisions of clause (94) of section 2 of CGST Act. Accordingly, the registered person, whose turnover exceeds the prescribed threshold for generation of e-

\*\*\*\*

## 199

## Clarification regarding taxability of services provided by an office of an organisation in one State to the office of that organisation in another State, both being distinct persons.

Circular No. 199/11/2023-GST

Dated the 17th July, 2023

000000000000

Various representations have been received seeking clarification on the taxability of activities performed by an office of an organisation in one State to the office of that organisation in another State, which are regarded as distinct persons under section 25 of Central Goods and Services Tax Act, 2017 (hereinafter referred to as 'the CGST Act'). The issues raised in the said representations have been examined and to ensure uniformity in the implementation of the law across the field formations, the Board, in exercise of its powers conferred under section 168(1) of the CGST Act hereby clarifies the issue in succeeding paras.

2. Let us consider a business entity which has Head Office (HO) located in State-1 and a branch offices (BOs) located in other States. The HO procures some input services e.g. security service for the entire organisation from a security agency (third party). HO also provides some other services on their own to branch offices (internally generated services).