

4), section 44 (Annual Return – **FORM GSTR-9 / FORM GSTR-9A / FORM GSTR-9C**), section 45 (Final Return – **FORM GSTR-1 0**) or section 52 (TCS Return – **FORM GSTR-6**). It is **clarified** that issuance of notice would not be required for registered persons who have not made any taxable supplies during the intervening period (i.e. from the date of registration to the date of application for cancellation of registration) and has furnished an undertaking to this effect.

11. <sup>1</sup>[It is pertinent to mention here that section 29 of the CGST Act has been amended by the CGST (Amendment) Act, 2018 to provide for "Suspension" of registration. The intent of the said amendment is to ensure that a taxpayer is freed from the routine compliances, including filing returns, under GST Act during the pendency of the proceedings related to cancellation. Accordingly, the field formations may not issue notices for non-filing of return for taxpayers who have already filed an application for cancellation of registration under section 29 of the CGST Act. Further, the requirement of filing a final return, as under section 45 of the CGST Act, remains unchanged.]
12. It may be noted that the information in table in **FORM GST REG-19** shall be taken from the liability ledger and the difference between the amounts in Table 10 and Table 11 of **FORM GST REG-16**.

**Note:**

1. Substituted vide Circular No. 88/07/2019-GST dated 01-02-2019 before it was read as "  
 "11. It is pertinent to mention here that section 29 of the CGST Act has been amended by the CGST (Amendment) Act, 2018 to provide for "Suspension" of registration. The intent of the said amendment is to ensure that a taxpayer is freed from the routine compliances, including filing returns, under GST Act during the pendency of the proceedings related to cancellation. Although the provisions of CGST (Amendment) Act, 2018 have not yet been brought into force, it will be prudent for the field formations not to issue notices for non-filing of return for taxpayers who have already filed an application for cancellation of registration under section 29 of the CGST Act. However, the requirement of filing a final return, as under section 45 of the CGST Act, remains unchanged."

**Note:-**

*Superseded Vide Circular No. 125/44/2019-GST dated 18-11-2019*

## 70

### Clarification on certain issues related to refund.

**Circular No. 70/44/2018 -GST**

**26th October, 2018**

The Board is in receipt of representations seeking clarification on certain issues relating to refund. In order to clarify these issues and to ensure uniformity in the implementation of the provisions of law across the field formations, the Board, in exercise of its powers conferred by section 168 (1) of the Central Goods and Services Tax Act, 2017 (hereinafter referred to as the "CGST Act"), hereby clarifies the issues as detailed hereunder:



2. **Status of refund claim after issuance of deficiency memo and re-credit of electronic credit ledger:**

2.1 Para 7.1 of circular No. 59/33/2018-GST dated the 4th September, 2018 clarifies the intent of law in cases where a deficiency memo is issued in respect of a refund claim. In para 7.2 of the said circular, the practise being followed in the field formations was elaborated and it was **clarified** that show cause notices are not required to be issued (and consequently no orders are required to be issued in **FORM GST RFD-04/06**) in cases where refund application is not re-submitted after the issuance of a deficiency memo (in **FORM GST RFD-03**). It was also **clarified** that once a deficiency memo has been issued against an application for refund, the amount of Input Tax Credit debited under sub-rule (3) of rule 89 of the Central Goods and Services Tax Rules, 2017 (hereinafter referred to as the "CGST Rules") is required to be re-credited to the electronic credit ledger of the applicant by using **FORM GST RFD-01B** and the taxpayer is expected to file a fresh application for refund.

2.2 The issue has been re-examined and it has been observed that presently the common portal does not allow a taxpayer to file a fresh application for refund once a deficiency memo has been issued against an earlier refund application for the same period. Therefore, it is **clarified** that till the time such facility is developed, taxpayers would be required to submit the rectified refund application under the earlier Application Reference Number (ARN) only. Thus, it is reiterated that when a deficiency memo in **FORM GST RFD-03** is issued to taxpayers, re-credit in the electronic credit ledger (using **FORM GST RFD-01B**) is not required to be carried out and the rectified refund application would be accepted by the jurisdictional tax authorities with the earlier ARN itself. It is further **clarified** that a suitable clarification would be issued separately for cases in which such re-credit has already been carried out.

3. **Allowing exporters who have received capital goods under EPCG to claim refund of IGST paid on exports:**

3.1 Sub-rule (10) of Rule 96 of the Central Goods and Services Tax Rules, 2017 (hereinafter referred to as "said sub-rule"), restricts exporters from availing the facility of claiming refund of IGST paid on exports in certain scenarios. It was intended that exporters availing benefit of certain notifications would not be eligible to avail the facility of such refund. However, representations have been received requesting that exporters who have received capital goods under the Export Promotion Capital Goods Scheme (hereinafter referred to as "EPCG Scheme"), should be allowed to avail the facility of claiming refund of the IGST paid on exports. GST Council, in its 30th meeting held in New Delhi on 28th September, 2018, had accorded approval to the proposal of suitably amending the said sub-rule along with sub-rule (4B) of rule 89 of the CGST Rules prospectively in order to enable such exporters to avail the said facility notification No. 54/2018 - Central Tax dated the 9th October, 2018 has been issued to carry out the changes recommended by the GST Council. Alongside the amendment carried out in the said sub-rule through the notification No. 39/2018- Central Tax dated 4th September, 2018 has been rescinded vide notification No. 53/2018 - Central Tax dated the 9th October, 2018.



- 3.2 For removal of doubts, it is **clarified** that the net effect of these changes would be that any exporter who himself/herself imported any inputs/capital goods in terms of notification Nos. 78/2017-Customs and 79/2017-Customs both dated 13th October, 2017 shall be eligible to claim refund of the IGST paid on exports till the date of the issuance of the notification No. 54/2018 – Central Tax dated the 9th October, 2018 referred to above.
- 3.3 Further, after the issuance of notification No. 54/2018 – Central Tax dated the 9th October, 2018, exporters who are importing goods in terms of notification Nos. 78/2017- Customs and 79/2017-Customs both dated 13th October, 2017 would not be eligible for refund of IGST paid on exports as provided in the said sub-rule. However, exporters who are receiving capital goods under the EPCG scheme, either through import in terms of notification No. 79/2017-Customs dated 13th October, 2017 or through domestic procurement in terms of notification No. 48/2017-Central Tax, dated 18th October, 2017, shall continue to be eligible to claim refund of IGST paid on exports and would not be hit by the restrictions provided in the said sub-rule. All clarifications issued in this regard vide any Circular issued earlier are hereby superseded.

**Note:-**

*Superseded Vide Circular No. 125/44/2019-GST dated 18-11-2019*

**71**

**Clarifications of issues under GST related to casual taxable person and recovery of excess Input Tax Credit distributed by an Input Service distributor.**

**Circular No. 71/45/2018-GST**

**26th October, 2018**

Representations have been received seeking clarification on certain issues under the GST laws. The same have been examined and the clarifications on the same are as below:

| S. No | Issue   | Clarification  |
|-------|---|--|
| 1     | Whether the amount required to be deposited as advance tax while taking registration as a casual taxable person (CTP) should be 100% of the estimated gross tax liability or the estimated tax liability payable in cash should be calculated after deducting the due eligible ITC which might be available to CTP? | <p>1. It has been noted that while applying for registration as a casual taxable person, the <b>FORM GST REG-1</b> (S. No. 11) seeks information regarding the "<b>estimated net tax liability</b>" only and not the gross tax liability.</p> <p>2. It is accordingly <b>clarified</b> that the amount of advance tax which a casual taxable person is required to deposit while obtaining registration should be calculated after considering the due eligible ITC which might be available to such taxable person.</p> |